

# DONOR INSPIRED NEWFOUNDLAND FORELIMB ANOMALY SCORING RUBRIC

<b>Scientific Merit</b>	<p>Weak hypotheses and objectives, experimental design &amp; methods, data analysis or preliminary data (if applicable), with significant flaws.</p> <p>The project does not address the stated problem or does not generate preliminary data to more clearly define this condition.</p>	<p>Adequate hypotheses and objectives, experimental design &amp; methods, data analysis and preliminary data (if applicable), with minor flaws.</p> <p>The project may directly or indirectly generate preliminary data to more clearly define this condition.</p>	<p>Excellent hypotheses and objectives, experimental design &amp; methods, data analysis and preliminary data (if applicable), with no significant flaws.</p> <p>The project directly addresses the stated problem or generates preliminary data to more clearly define this condition.</p>
	0-1	2-3	4-5
<b>Impact</b>	<p>Unclear and unlinked impact plan goals, objectives and activities that are not attainable and lack engagement with appropriate beneficiaries.</p> <p>The impact plan and proposal do not inform each other and do not result in outcomes that scientifically advance the field.</p> <p>There is no clear pathway for findings from the proposed work to be utilized by owners, breeders, veterinarians, or other stakeholders.</p>	<p>Mostly clear and linked impact plan goals, objectives and activities that may be attainable and engage somewhat with appropriate beneficiaries.</p> <p>The impact plan and proposal somewhat inform each other and may result in outcomes that scientifically advance the field.</p> <p>There is a described and moderately credible pathway for findings from the proposed work to be utilized by owners, breeders, veterinarians, or other stakeholders.</p>	<p>Clear and linked impact plan goals, objectives and activities that are attainable and engage widely and clearly with appropriate beneficiaries.</p> <p>The impact plan and proposal inform each other and result in outcomes that scientifically advance the field.</p> <p>There is a well-described and credible pathway for findings from the proposed work to be utilized by owners, breeders, veterinarians, or other stakeholders.</p>
	0-1	2-3	4-5

Significance	<p>The study does not specifically address the topic of Newfoundland forelimb anomaly.</p> <p>Ability to generate new information on Newfoundland forelimb anomaly is not well-described.</p>	<p>The study somewhat addresses the topic of Newfoundland forelimb anomaly.</p> <p>Ability to generate new information on Newfoundland forelimb anomaly is somewhat described.</p>	<p>The study clearly addresses the topic of Newfoundland forelimb anomaly.</p> <p>Ability to generate new information on Newfoundland forelimb anomaly is well-described.</p>
	0	1	2

Attainability of Objectives	<p>Objectives are unlikely to be completed in the given timeline, objectives are dependent, potential pitfalls are not addressed, and/or expertise, facilities and equipment are inadequate.</p> <p>The research team does not have the appropriate expertise, and there is no clear evidence of access to data or materials, and/or connection to stakeholder groups whose involvement may be required.</p>	<p>Objectives may be completed in the given timeline, some objectives are dependent, some potential pitfalls are addressed, and expertise, facilities and equipment are adequate.</p> <p>The research team has moderate levels of appropriate expertise with some evidence of access to data or materials, and/or connection to stakeholder groups whose involvement may be required.</p>	<p>Objectives are likely to be completed in the given timeline, objectives are independent, potential pitfalls are addressed, and expertise, facilities and equipment are excellent.</p> <p>The research team is collaborative, with strong and complementary expertise, clear evidence of access to data or materials, and/or well-documented connection to stakeholder groups whose involvement may be required.</p>
	0	1-2	3

Grantsmanship & Budget	<p>Writing is unclear and disorganized. Proposal does not adhere to one or more guidelines.</p> <p>Budget is not appropriate and is not properly justified, particularly with regard to salary.</p>	<p>Writing is not completely clear or organized. Proposal does not completely adhere to guidelines.</p> <p>Budget is mostly appropriate and properly justified, particularly with regard to salary.</p>	<p>Writing is clear and organized. Proposal adheres to guidelines.</p> <p>Budget is appropriate and properly justified, particularly with regard to salary.</p>
	0	1	2